

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,896	10/16/2006	Ferdinand Hermann Bahlmann	P/2107-297	4965
2352 7590 09/21/20099 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER	
			DEBERRY, REGINA M	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586.896 BAHLMANN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Regina M. DeBerry 1647 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\ Claim(s) 1-6.9.10.15.19.32.39.40.45.46.49.50.52 and 53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-6.9.10.15.19.32.39.40.45.46.49.50.52 and 53 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsparson's Catent Drawing Review (CTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Matter of Record

The instant application employs improper "use claims". Thus, it is unclear if Applicant is intending to claim a composition or a method/process (and the steps involved in the method/process). A claim is indefinite when it merely recites a use without any positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

The Examiner has attempted to separate between claims drawn to compositions and claims drawn to methods. The Examiner will adjust the Election/Restriction, once the claims have been amended to proper form.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, 9, 40, 46, 50 and 53, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an erythropoietin (EPO) dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per week (wk).

Group II, claim(s) 4, 5, 10, 32, 45 drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an EPO dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per week, a mixture of endothelial progenitor cells and at least one cell population usable for cell therapy.

Group III, claim(s) 6, 39, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an EPO dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per wk and an agent.

Art Unit: 1647

Group IV, claim(s) 49, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an EPO dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per week, an endoprosthesis, a mixture of endothelial progenitor cells and at least one cell population usable for cell therapy.

Group V, claim(s) 15, 19, 52, drawn to a method of EPO therapy.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Thompson, WO 02/14356 A2 teaches compositions comprising EPO and methods of treatment using EPO, wherein said composition and dosage of administration is 50-150 IU/kg at a frequency of one to 3 times per week.

The special technical feature of Group I is a pharmaceutical composition comprising an erythropoietin (EPO) dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per week (wk). The special technical feature of Group II is a pharmaceutical composition comprising an EPO dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per week, a mixture of endothelial progenitor cells and at least one cell population usable for cell therapy. The special technical feature of Group III is a pharmaceutical composition comprising an EPO dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per wk and an agent. The special technical feature of Group IV is a pharmaceutical composition comprising an EPO dose of 1-90 IU/kg body weight per week, an endoprosthesis, a mixture of endothelial progenitor cells and at least one cell population usable for cell therapy. The special technical feature of Group V is a method of EPO therapy. The technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-V does not

Art Unit: 1647

constitute a special technical features as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Art Unit: 1647

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina M. DeBerry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,896 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

Manjunath N. Rao can be reached on (571) 272-0939. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. M. D./

Examiner, Art Unit 1647

3/29/09

/Marianne P. Allen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647